

REMARKS

The Applicant appreciates the courteous and complete examination of the application by the Examiner. In view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks, a reconsideration of the instant application is respectfully requested.

The Examiner rejects claims 6-17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In order to expedite the prosecution of this application, claims 6, 9-12, 15, 17, 19-21, 23 and 25 have been amended, and claim 22 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter thereof.

Claim 22 has been cancelled as for claiming duplicate subject matter found in claim 17 which it depends therefrom.

Claims 6, 17 and 25 have been amended to more clearly and distinctly claim each of the spring limitations and its corresponding intersection point, per the drawings. The spring limitations have been amended to be directed to "each of said springs" of the interior flexible case and the top part. The "at least one intersection point" of the top part springs has been amended to read "each of said springs extend from said top part to one said intersection point of said crossing plates of said top part" thereby associating each of the top part springs to one intersection point of the crossing top part plates. Additionally, the "the at least two intersection point" of the interior flexible case has been amended to read "each of said springs extend from said base to one said intersection point of said crossing plates of said interior flexible case" thereby associating each of the interior flexible case spring to one intersection point of the crossing interior flexible case plates.

The Applicant respectfully refers the Examiner to Figure 1 which illustrates the spring 32 adjacent to an intersection point of crossing plates 24 in the top part 12, and a plurality of springs 32 each adjacent to an intersection point of crossing plates 24 of the interior flexible case 20 in bottom part 14. Furthermore, in combination with Figures 3 and 4 which illustrates a plurality of (top part) springs 32 located between the top part 12 and plates 24, and a plurality of (interior flexible case) springs 32 located between the base 16 of the bottom part 14 and crossing plates 24.

Claims 9 and 19 have been amended to more clearly describe the plurality of plates of the interior flexible case by separating the plurality of plates into "a first set of three plates" and "a second set of three plates", thereby allowing for the removal of the questionable "said opposite opposing said sides" and "said opposite said plates" language which lacks proper antecedent basis. Separating the plurality of plates into a first and second set allows for a more clear description of this limitation, without adding new matter, by amended claims 9 and 19 to read as "a second set of three plates to three plates extending between opposing said sides adapted perpendicularly crossing over said first set of said plates".

Claims 10 and 20 have been amended to more clearly describe the corners of the sides of the bottom port, and to correct any antecedent errors, by removing the language "said" from opposing corners and further describing the opposing corners as those from "said sides of said bottom part". The opposing corners are now being introduced and further described.

Claims 11 and 21 have been amended to correct any antecedent errors by removing the language "said" from "said central intersection point", thereby introducing this limitation.

Claim 12 has been amended to depend from claim 11 instead of claim 13, and also to further describe and introduce the mesh parallel with said side as "a side mesh parallel with said side", thereby giving antecedent basis to "said side meshes" in amended claim 15. Additionally, claim 17 has been amended to further describe and introduce the mesh parallel with said side as "a side mesh parallel with said side", thereby giving antecedent basis to "said side meshes" in amended claim 23.

Claims 15 and 23 have been amended to correct any antecedent errors by removing the language "base" from "said base mesh", and the language "side" from "said side additional springs". Additional language has been added to more clearly describe "said mesh" and "said additional springs" and to distinctly claim the elements.

With reference to the section titled ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER stated in the above-identified office action, claims 6-25 are now believed to be in condition for allowance by overcoming all claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

With the above amendments being fully responsive to all outstanding rejections and formal requirements, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in condition for allowance, and a notice to that effect is earnestly solicited. Should the Examiner feel that there are further issues which might be resolved by means of telephone interview, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (403) 444-5695, or by email at davidguerra@internationalpatentgroup.com.

Respectfully Submitted,



David A. Guerra, Reg. 46,443

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

On (Date) 04/25/2007 by David A. Guerra *David A. Guerra*